1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2	BOB STUMP Chairman Arizona Comporation Commission
3	Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission GARY PIERCE DOCKETED
4	BRENDA BURNS Commissioner JAN - 7 2014
5	BOB BURNS Commissioner DOCKETED BY
6	SUSAN BITTER SMITH Commissioner
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NO. E-01933A-12-0291 OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER 74255
9	COMPANY FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT } DECISION NO
10	OF JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND) <u>ORDER</u> CHARGES DESIGNED TO REALIZE A
11	REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON { THE FAIR VALUE OF IT OPERATIONS }
12	THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA)
13	Open Meeting
14	December 17 and 18, 2013 Phoenix, Arizona
15	
16	BY THE COMMISSION:
17	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>
18	1. Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or "the Company") is engaged in
19	providing electric power within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona
20	Corporation Commission.
21	2. <u>Background</u> . On July 3, 2012, TEP filed an application for a rate increase. On June
22	27, 2013, Decision 73912 was issued granting a rate increase. Subsequently, on July 2, 2013, TEP
23	filed the following items in compliance with that Decision:
24	Schedule of Rates and Charges;
25	Rules and Regulations;
26	Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause Plan of Administration;
27	Environmental Compliance Adjustor Plan of Administration; and
28	 Lost Fixed Cost Recovery Mechanism Plan of Administration.

3. <u>Notice of Clarification</u>. On July 3, 2013, the Company filed a Notice of Clarification of Tariffs and Alternative Request for Approval ("Notice of Clarification."). A corrected version of the Large General Service Time-of-Use Program ("LGS-85") tariff was attached to the Notice of Clarification and is intended to replace the incorrect version that was attached to Decision No. 73912. The language of the incorrect tariff did not reflect the type of demand billing agreed upon during settlement and, if not corrected, could result in higher demand charges for customers on the LGS-85 tariff.

- 4. The incorrect language would base off-peak billing demand on the greatest of (i) 75% of the maximum off-peak period billing demand used for billing purposes during the preceding 11 months, or (ii) a contract demand amount of not less than 200 kW. The corrected language bases off-peak demand billing on the maximum 15-minutes measured demand during the off-peak period of the billing month.
- 5. The Notice of Clarification stated, in addition, that two frozen lifeline tariffs (R 06-201AF and R 06-201BF) had been inadvertently excluded from Decision No. 73912.
- 6. <u>Corrections</u>. A corrected version of the LGS-85 tariff was attached to the Notice of Clarification. The R 06-201AF and R 06-201BF frozen lifeline tariffs were included with the Company's compliance filing, docketed on July 2, 2013.
- 7. <u>Request for Approval</u>. In its application, TEP states that it feels no further action is necessary. Should the Commission feel that separate action is required, TEP requests approval of the changes in accordance with Section 15.2 of the Settlement Agreement. Section 15.2 states as follows:

"The rate design portion of this Agreement shall remain open until July 1, 2014, to allow for the possible adjustment of specific tariffs to correct for unanticipated customer rate impacts that are determined to be inconsistent with the public interest. Any tariff changes will not have the effect, in the aggregate, of reducing TEP's non-fuel revenue requirement."

8. The Notice of Clarification indicates that the correct LGS-85 tariff is already reflected in the Commission-approved proof of revenue, as are R 06-201AF and R 06-201BF.

Decision No. 74255

28

ORDER 1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the corrected LGS-85 tariff filed on July 3, 2013, in 2 3 Tucson Electric Company's Notice of Clarification be, and hereby is, approved. 4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the R 06-201AF and R 06-201BF tariffs filed on July 2, 2013 as part of Tucson Electric Company's compliance filing be, and hereby are, approved. 5 6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall be effective immediately. 7 BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 8 9 10 11 12 13 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive 15 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this 16 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of 17 18 19 20 ECUTIVE DIRECTOR 21 22 DISSENT: 23 DISSENT: 24 SMO:JMK:sms\RRM 25 26 27

Decision No. 74255

27

28

Decision No. 74255

Docket No. E-01933A-12-0291